P. F. 95895

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1892-95

192 .

(K-R.)

File No. 1595 P2

Serial Nos. 1 - 5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 5

Report ~~Part~~ B for February 1896

SUBJECT.

Grant of Jervis Nanabhai for 4 Chauthai Shifad Nanapara Karachi Taluka

---

Countermarked

WD 223—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(1)

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 6th December 1887.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPERS:—

Letter No. 1508 of the 22nd December 1885 to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, calling for a report upon the complaint of the Shanbhogs of the Sorab Taluk that their well recognized hereditary right to their offices have been set aside in favor of strangers at the Puttige Settlement.

Report from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, No. 243—228, dated 23rd July 1887.

No. 5238-46—CIR. 133, DATED 6TH DECEMBER 1887.

ORDER THEREON.—Previous to the survey settlement, the Shanbhogs' circles were often too unwieldy for the proper and adequate discharge of the duties connected with the office. The defect was remedied at the settlement and the circles were reduced in extent and split into two or more according to the circumstances of each case, the old hakdar Shanbhogs being given the option of appointing Gumastas to the new circles formed at the settlement. There never was any ruling to the effect that the new men thus appointed were to assume for themselves the hereditary right to these offices to the prejudice of the claims of the old Shanbhogs. There is, however, it is evident, an idea, that such was meant and recognized by Government. The Dewan requests the Deputy Commissioners to explain to all parties concerned that this was far from the intention of the Government, who could not deprive Shanbhogs summarily of their immemorial and well-understood, and well recognized and valuable rights. The men appointed to the new circles must be treated as Gumastas of hakdars subject to the condition of efficient discharge of Government duties. They shall not be liable to removal within a period of 5 years unless there may be a shorter or longer term agreed to between the parties under a written contract.

2. The four Shanbhog cases noted in the margin will be disposed of by the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga in accordance with the above observations.

1. *Sorab Taluk, Muttagappe Circle*—
   Nadig Rangappa and 2 others *Vs.* Malhari Bhatta.
2. *Chandikoppa Circle.*—
   Nadig Rangappa and 2 others *Vs.* Malhari Bhatta.
3. *Gaudasettikoppa Circle*—
   Nadig Rangappa and 2 others *Vs.* Hiranyappa.
4. *Chikkalgodu Circle*—
   Bonappa *Vs.* Krishnappa.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, with Canarese papers.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts for information and guidance.
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlement.

1887

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 6th December 1887.

No. 5238-46.
Cir. 133.

Passing orders on the complaint of the Shanbhogs of Sorab that their hereditary rights have been set aside in favor of strangers at the Puttige Settlement.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—22-12-87.—80.

Precis

2

A.S. no. 160 of 1892-93

Petitioner. Iramanapra.

This is an appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Kandy District, regarding the Lands of Iramanapra and other villages.

During the Pilla Pelige Settlement in 1886-87. the three villages, constituting the Jaravsabagawa were included into two circles, namely, Jaravsabe and Hirikaravsabagala Sabe. Petitioners —

Sisters are the Kudas Lands

1 Jaravsa
2 Iramanapra
3 Iramanapra
4 Hasalla
} Jaravsabe

5 Hirikaravsabagala
6 Kiddagama
7 Galabadaka
8 Gandalawatta
9 Hirikaravsabagala
} Hirikaravsabagala Sabe

of the nine villages. She
appointed the Brahmin, Ramappa,
as Sarvagna of Hirekamangala
Seeme and retained the former
Seeme for herself. Ereyamma's
further remuneration of her
brother, Ramappa, as Sarvagna
of the former Seeme, and
the appointment of one Subbanna,
as Sarvagna of the
Hirekamangala Seeme.
were also agreed during
the settlement. Ramappa
continued to do the Sarvagna
of Ereyamma for the
former Seeme.

On the 20th January 1889

the

Ten of the villagers of Sarra

presented a petition to the Deputy

Commissioner, stating that they

were using the Government

waste land as grazing ground,

adjoining the village of Sarra

Kalan" for storing grass &c.

that the Sarabjee, having the

Patwari influence, threatened

them that he would get them

fined Rupees 50 for using Government

land without permission, that

he would not register the sale

of the Talab authorities if

each of them were to give

him Rs 4, that he took

Rs 20/- from them, and that

there were witnesses who were

present

present at the time when the
money was handed over to the Sinhalese.

The petition was referred to
the Arbitrator for enquiry and report. 5-11-89.

During the enquiry before
the Arbitrator, all the witnesses
who were examined stated that
they saw the Sinhalese taking
Rs 20/- in the temple of Nilakamma,
from Chinnammalamma, one of
the two petitioners.

After the receipt of the records
from the Arbitrator the Deputy
Commissioner dismissed Sinnamma
from his employment, fined
him Rs 10/- and ordered the Arbitrator
to recommend a fit person for the
post. 22-2-90.

The Arbitrator recommended
one

17. 3. 90 The Principal Secretary

The Deputy Commissioner thro

directed the writer that the register

Samalanga should be opened before

23. 3. 90 adjourning at present the journals

I The writer then went to

Cheringoma, taking the journals

of the journals for the office of

the Samalanga & Jerom Districts

Cheringoma, the former journals having

been received from office during the

21. 4. 90. Deputy Commissioner

on the 28th day 1890 Cheringoma

proceeded to perform the Circuit

Respecting that the journals might

might be confirmed on ——

Venkatramiah

/

/a Katerameik who was
well acquainted with the Lurdagee's
account, and who was also a
relative of the petitioner.

Ex'd & sealed by the Arcker,
the Deputy Commissioner appointed
Venkataramiah the Lurdagee
Gymnasia of Jaroo —— 7- 6. 00.

On 10th August 1892, appeared
and presented a petition the Amildar
of Sirsiee, stating that after
the decease of her brother, she
appointed another as gymnasta for
the Jaroo Circle, that as he did
not like to remain in the office
he gave over the papers to
another man and left the
village

without permission that he did not give her the charge sworn upon, that he should be removed from the office and that she dismissed further should be appointed instead, that the Gumastha of Thekkamangalam cadu should also be removed from the office and another appointed instead, as she was also giving her some trouble.

Venkatramana, Gumastha of the Lower Cadu deposed before the Faujdary Court that on he received the information of his father's illness, he went to his village on one month's leave, that on reporting the same to the Faujdary Court

9

that he paid Rs 5/- out of the pahrgi to the Headman Guriamma, that there were witnesses present, and that he did not like to resign the office.

Subbamma produced a Nirakaranagala Seal, also stated before the Shirastadar that he had been discharging his work, since the date of his appointment, and that he had not paid receipts for the proper payment of the photgi to Guriamma —

4-10-92.

On 6th January 1893, Guriamma again requested the Amildar to

to keep Trigonometrical Survey for the Jaffna scale and applied for Trigonometrical instead of Cadastral survey of Kurunegala scale.

The Surveyor then submitted his report to the Deputy Commissioner stating that ~~[illegible]~~ the registered Cadastral Survey of the Kurunegala scale might be allowed to last after the duties of the Cadastral of the above scale for some time, and that he gave satisfaction he might be confirmed in his office.

16-1-93

The Deputy Commissioner ordered that an application be made for both the leases, and as she stated that she did not know that the registration of the Avissawella Leases in favour of her brother in 1884 was made in the Kachcheri for that Province and still had already been issued by order passed that Karunaratne was not a fit person to be entrusted with the duties of the Sanitary, Karunapala Corea did not be entitled to the Sanitary grants

10-2-93

The petitioner now urges

M no 165 of 1892-93

Appt-
Narayanbhat.
of Sankare
Kadeeri.
Represented by Sri L
Krishnamoorthy
Advocate.

Appt's sister Gowramma
owns the Shanbhog of 9 villages. In the
Pattkaptagi in 1886, appt was appointed
as Shanbhog as desired by Gowramma.
In ~~1889~~ July 1890, appellant was
dismissed from office on a charge of exacting
money from Ryots. Gowramma was asked
to nominate another, & she requested that appt
might be restored to office, but her request was finally
rejected in July 1893. On this, this appeal
has been preferred.—

Appt. has no right to the office, &
the right given to him by his sister he lost
by misconduct. His appeal against the
dismissal is cannot be admitted at this
distance of time & it is ~~this~~ dismissed.

24/11/94.

Dr is informed
that his appeal
has been dismissed

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 3253

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 9 June 93

Date of Receipt. 10 June 93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Requests that the order of the Dy Commr disqualifying him to hold office as the Headship of Karanapura and Siddapura Villages may be set aside and that he may be allowed to enjoy the emoluments of the above duties.





In the Court of the Councillors
Mysore.

Revenue Petition of 1893.

A.S. No. 165
92-93

The humble petition of Javur
Narayappa, Shanbogue, Se-
-Sidingapet Pariken, Kolar
district, most respectfully

Sheweth:—

1. That his Sista Gnanamma is the hereditary
Shanbogue of the villages of Narayapura, Hem-
-Kanayanahalli, Chinnapura, Gundapanda,
Siddapura, Chickanayakanahalli, Kothally,
Julurahally of Pariharapalaka, Kolar district.

2. That about September 1887 the above
mentioned Gnanamma the petitioner Narayappa
were appointed Shanbogue of the aforesaid
villages in her stead.

3. That since then the petitioner has been
doing the duties and enjoying the emoluments
of the Shanbogy through his Gumasta Nobanna

4. That about February 1893 on the Petitioner
attending to the duties himself, the Deputy
Commissioner of Kolar district, passed the
order herewith produced to the effect that

16

that the Petitioner ought not to be allowed to look after the duties of Shanbogy on the grounds not proved as against him through the Amildar Explored that he was a qualified and fit person to be allowed to look after the duties by himself.

5. That the charge referred against him by the Sycle of Jamir is false and malicious, and the petitioner being then not of sufficient understanding was unable to meet the same, which was therefore heard and decided against him Exparte. The Petitioner further submits that he is prepared to prove the said charge against him is false to enquire him of his being a Genuine Shanbogue of Jamir and that it was also malicious.

The petitioner therefore prays that the order dated 6th February 1893 passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur District a Copy of which is herewith produced may be set aside and that the Petitioner may be allowed to look after the duties of Shanbogue and enjoy the emoluments thereof of the Village mentioned in the first para of this petition.

And your petitioner shall ever pray.

[illegible]

9. 6. 93

Pet
169.

5/7/93.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3253

dated 25 June 93 received at the

Dewan's Office from [illegible]

[illegible] regarding the [illegible]

[illegible] and other villages in the [illegible]

Kiru Taluk,

is forwarded, together with its [illegible]

enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District for submission

of proceedings with a report on

the facts of the case and on

the points taken in the [illegible]

2. The petition with the en-

closure should be returned.

By Order,

Draft

23/6/93

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
June 1893.

S.K.A.

L.R. 159-92-2

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

19. (5)

No 10

Deputy Commissioner Police
Kadir District
Shri Chintaganti Satyam

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadir District.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Bangalore.
Bangalore.

Sir,

Referring to your memo No. 5 of
dated 20th instant, forwarding for
report on Shri Chintaganti Satyam
representing against my order of the
16th February last, regarding the
Karnataka Prohibition and other
Cottages, in the Kadir District, I
have the honor to state as follows:

Brief facts of the case: During the Samba Raja Festi-
= val in 1956-57, the Karagam priestly
noted

1. Jaunay
2. Naupfür
3. Jaunapfür
4. Neuenhöfli
5. Kirchenmungala
6. Schlaphuus
7. Zwiselhüsli
8. Grundschmitten
9. Schlittenmungala.

nebst 11 Ortschaften

Jaunay Bezirk zerfällt in 2 Theile, nämlich in die Pfarrei Dorf Jaunay und in die Pfarrei "Jaunay Land" zusammen Religion wie oben, auch die Sprache "Kirchenmungala Land" wie in Polizei Bezirk Nr. 8.

2. Alle Land Gemeinden Jaunay, die zu Gerichts Bezirk Nr. 2 gehören, als: Jaunay - Capitzel in Kirchen Flamburg - Neuenhof - Dorf Jaunay - Linie Nr. 1 bis 4 - Jaunay Land für sich, der Bezirk Nr. 2 gehört ins Bezirksamt Jaunay, wohnet

3. Gemeinden Examination ob die gefällten Urtheile zu Flamburg - Kirchenmungala Land, und die Letzten gegen die Appellanten

Schlaphuus

Petitioner as the Grounds were also duly affirmed, and the said Petitioner was sworn from the Chancellor's Book Register, examined with Verna = = cular proper.

2. — The affidavit Exemplification = = timed to the Petitioner Grounds for the Grant duly filed 22nd February 1871, on which day the same dismissed by Colonel Campbell, whose Orders are quoted below: —

"Present — Lieutenant of the Ter—
"ritories and three out of
"four witnesses — also Chambly
"Grounds Exemplified."

"Said petition receiving
"Exemplification & receiving Certificate."

"The petitioner and witnesses
"are all sworn to be reputable
"Men paying Considerable
"amounts of Land Taxes —

Then

"the year before the first payment
"shall become due, and
"Mary shall receive
"no rights, under this
"deed, more than
"one third part
"of the rents, issues and profits
"of the said premises
"for the first year."
"And it is further agreed by
"the parties to these presents,
"that Mary
"shall keep
"the buildings
"insured against
"fire, in the sum of
"two thousand pounds,
"during the
"said term of
"five years."
"I am compelled to declare the
"agreement void. It is false.
"That Mary Paine pursued in
"this open manner to gratify
"a private pique, and that
"the future Engagement shall
"never be executed. To restore her
"reputation, and to punish
"Grimaldi as he deserved."
"Marmaduke with the utmost
"haste and astonishment read
"over the letter a second
"time, and found the
"contents of the first part

"I believe he received"

"the Foreign Office Circular"

"as to the Emancipation has been duly"

"published and not at Jamaica"

"the Circular stated, seemed"

"to put me, who write this at"

"Jamaica."

5.- Indiana has been Continuously Practising Financially for the Circumambient Bank.

6.- In the 4th January, 1838 Indiana stated before the Circular that she was all along the instructions of her being left Certain for both the Bank ("having only recently come to know the fact after having been recognized as Chandelier Manager" Under seal, and that after another having been recognized as Chandelier of Circumambient Bank) that however, proved that the said Manager may be recognized

as

ya Kattalar of Virakamangala Local
24
as he did not furnish any serious
offence deserving of dismissal when
Gunaetha for his fourth Local.

7. The Arbitrator recommended the
temporary appointment of
appellant Samaetha for the Virakam-
= angala Local, subject to Explana-
= tion on proof of his good Conduct
but declined to, reinstate him
either as Vedahar or as Gunaetha
for the reason that he was not
to be recognized as a Vedahar-
ship under Government Proceedings
of No 5288-24 dated 5th December 1887.
Cir: 130
and because he was not before
when Gunaetha of Various Local,
dismissed by Colonel Campbell
for misconduct

8. - We regret the Courts below in
the petition.

1. This reference to para 2 of the
the appeal petition, shows the
issue to arise for determination
is the status of the 3rd respondent
& 4th respondent and not 3rd respondent
as alleged by the appellant.
Also, regard the appellant
has affirmed Section 3 of
= tage of Village Land
the Environmental Scale
Guidance relating the
remaining 4 villages for
herself - Kate para. 11-2 above.
2.- As regard para 3 of the
appeal petition, the tribunal
(and not the appellant) has
been doing the visit of the
Environmental Scale since
receiving the remuneration
attached to the said Scale
It is not on record whether
the appellant receives from
tribunal any portion of
the

the said remuneration. It
is for the Indian Department
= ment to pay the claim
or not. I enquired for that
the two men paying the
the claim Chambly Caisse
9. - The appeal petition and its
enclosures are herewith returned
together with the memorandum
of evidence in the case with
the Minister's Report
of 30th ult. and draft of
report & the papers for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

H. H. Parry

Asst. Commissioner
Indian Affairs

Copy

27

(7)

M 165 of 1892-93

Appellant
Narayappa
of Jakkeri
Kadur Dt
represented by
his advocate
C. Krishnamurti

K.K. 159-92-4

Appellant sister Gurejamma
was the Shanbhog of 9 villages
which were divided into ~~two~~ two
Firkas called Jeevur, &
Harikaivanyala. At the
Petage in 1886, appt was
appointed as Shanbhog
Gumastu for Jeevur, & as
Shanbhog of Harikaivanyala
as desired by Gurejamma.
Appellant did duties of
Shanbhog in Jeevur, &

appointed a gumasta
for Hira Kanungola,
but in July 1890 appl
was dismissed from
her office of gumasta
of Sewer on a charge
of exacting money from
Ryots, & Gurugamma
nominated another
individual for the post.
In 1893, Gurugamma
applied that Narasamba might
~~apply~~ apply be allowed
to do the duties of
Hira Kanungola, as ~~a~~ personally
the gumasta did not
pay her any thing, but
D[eputy] Coll[ector] refused
to comply with her
request & the appeal
is against that order.

Although Rathi
was ~~now~~ not
formally dismissed
from his office of
Chairboy of Urs.
Kawrgala, still,
he having been found
guilty of misconduct
over the Iruka where
he was doing duties
as guarda, he
cannot be allowed
to resume his office
in Urs Kawrgala.
He has no right of
his own for the office,
& what was given by
his sister he lost
by his misconduct
The office must be
considered as belonging
to Gowramma
Urs

31

& the Learn appeal
a proper Document,
the appeal is
dismissed.—

HCP

5/2/94

I have signed
the Document

HCP

By Order

Chief Secretary.

No 13728 & 13729 P.Z. 95-96

Copy to the Deputy Commr
Kadiri District with the
Records received with his
Rep. No 9 dt 7th July 1893.

By Order

Chief Secretary.

17-2-94

No. 3253
A.S.165-

31 Mysore Dewan's Office,

Petition Department,

5 February 1894 (6)

Endorsement granted to Ramaraja of Lakkur, Kadur District

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3253 A.S.165- dated 7th June 1893 appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr of Kadur, dismissing Petitioners appl. for Mandagi of Ramanajan and Mareddiyas in the Lakkur Estate.

Petitioner is informed that his appeal has been dismissed.

The enclosure is herewith returned.

L.R. 159-92-5-

5/2/94

"By Order"

Redagi

Chief Secretary.

29/1

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

in his appeal petition as follows: ——————

1 That the petitioner was appointed Sanabogue of [redacted] & Villages* at the desire of the headar Giriamma.

2. That he was appointed Sanabogue of the villages by Giriamma in 1886

3. That the charge brought against him by the ryots of Javoor was false, and malicious and that he would now prove that the above charge was got up by them to deprive the petitioner of the gumastaship

4 That the order of the Deputy Commissioner should be set aside and the petitioner allowed to continue to perform the duties of the Sanabogue of the villages.

*[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

Posted for 23.1-94 in Kearny
Notices to Pets Advocate
Signature
BR
-2/4

G

144.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893 (5) Pet 4

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

L.R. 189-92-3

Dated 4th

Despatched 5th July.

Received

Enclosures No. 3 & 2 files of Ver: papers

General No. 10 / No. } 1893. 94.

Submitting Proceedings with a report on the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petition of Javir Narnappa of Davikere regarding the Shanbhog of Narnapur and other villages in the Davikere Taluk.

Peter Br. Moore

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.